

Contributions to the Aichi Biodiversity Target (Example)

Type number of the Aichi Biodiversity Target that you contributed. Please stick with the targets within your assigned group

Write what kind of indicator(s) you use to assess contributions to the Aichi Biodiversity Target

4

Indicator 1: Number of community people involved to revitalize the abundant SEPLS in Urato Islands

Indicator 2: Hectares revitalized through community-based activities

BEFORE (2012)	AFTER (2017)
<p>Previously any planning process in the community was done by chief of each community only (5 chiefs)</p> 	<p>Now, fishermen (10 men), guest house owners (5 men), and women association (8 women) are involved in the process of planning and implementation</p> 
<p>Community workshop identified total 5ha of the island were left as abundant and observed SEPLS as deteriorated</p> 	<p>0.18 ha have been revitalized with the help of community people and Tourists. It enables people to collect insects and 80 different types of insects were Collected.</p> 

Use illust and figures as much as possible to make it easier for audience to understand

Contributions to the Aichi Biodiversity Target

3

Indicator 11: number of registered cases of illegal invasion to territory for logging, fishing and poaching, number of staff / external voluntary inspectors
 Indicator 13: reporting from hunters and Bikin Park inspectors on declines in some wildlife population

BEFORE ()	AFTER ()
Formerly external visitors and indigenous hunters –fishermen were out of practical control by infrastructural non accessibility of territory and poor level of state inspection.	Since national park got created, there is enough budget sources, staff and voluntary inspectors to control . But still educational campaign is insistently needed and going.
Our 30 years activity to protect this unique ecosystem came to formal status of Park and UNESCO WH. But some community members still dream on full freedom in resource use relying own culture only.	We are trying to bring to community members clear understanding of global problems with biodiversity loss and it’s junction to local and personal practice to keep in fully sustainable

Message to the CBD for post-2020

- Key problem for capitalist world is priority of money and income from jobs versus environmental and social sustainability. UN institutions should be more strict and insistent in defending principles and criteria of sustainable development by any international instruments.

Suggestions to IPSI for post-2020

- To insistently require more responsible and professional representation of governmental position at the key CBD events
- To actively collaborate with WTO, MARPOL, Climate, Forest , indigenous people concerned and other global conventions and agreements to prioritize SDG and biodiversity targets in all economic development frameworks
- To keep community based approach as a key alternative to monopoly based development models