Conflictive Interactions between Forestry Traditional Knowledge of Dong Minority and Modern Policy Interventions in S Village: an Actor-oriented Perspective

This poster is prepared for IPSI-4. Cluster2: Policy Research

Centre of Forestry, Environmental and Resource Policy Study, Renmin University of China

G, Minghui (Cathy_minghuizhang@163.com); LIU, Jinlong; LONG, Hexing; YUAN, Juanwen

Case 1 **Protection of Houlong Mountain**

S Village (SV) is a Dong minority village, a remote mountain village in Hunan Province (湖南省) has abundant forest resources and other diversified living beings. Villagers live on forests and rice, living in fir wood

houses as well as believe in trees and "Fengshui (風水)". This lifestyle has modeled the meanings of forests in villagers' mind which formed traditional knowledge for managing the resource for hundreds of years



Under industrialization and marketization, national forestrelated policies (e.g. forestry tenure reforms, post-disaster reconstruction policy) and the traditional forest-related knowledge (TFRK) are interacting in the village

So, How do the policies and the TFRK interact? What results?

This poster will demonstrate the process and results of the interactions. It may enlighten us how to take measures to protect biodiversity and keep healthy social culture.

Research Approach:

Actor-oriented approach (AOA)

- The goal of AOA is to understand the interactions among different world views or various cultural patterns
- "Agency" of actors is the basis of the theory; Each actor has different "project" and capacity to act; Policy practices, social changes and resource use practices are results of interactions and mutual effects among actors
- "Social interface" is a key for understanding the world; Knowledge, capacity and power of actors interact and change in the social interface
- Field researches are of crucial role in the whole study



Field Researches:						
Time	2012: June - July					
	2013: January, July					
Methods	Observation					
	Secondary data collection					
	Household/Village Questionnaire					
	•Individual/Group Interview					
Contents	•Livelihood (resources, production, consumption)					
	•Fengshui sayings, Village history					
	Forestland allocation,					
	Post-disaster reconstruction					

- Timeline of Fengshui Mountain
- 3. Houlong Mountain
- & the Fire Disaster in 2012

- Drum Building

Fengshui Mountain (FSM) in SV

In late Qing dynasty (1808 A.D.), villagers set up a stone tablet named "Shuikou Stele", claiming that they started planting trees; and after 42 years (1850 A.D.), they carved a cutting ban at the back of the "Shuikou Stele" for protecting Fengshui Mountain of the village which was including Houlong Mountain (HLM) and the purple area on the map. (There were many firs on the purple area and many other kinds of trees on HLM. HLM can guard the village against fires from the grazing mountain.

The cutting ban asserted the Fengshui Mountain belong to the village and should be managed by all the villagers. If someone cut trees on that mountain, he should be punished

Policies			
Late 1950s the period of "Great Leap	Forward":	Late 2007 Collective fo	rest tenure reform:
Forest should be cut for i	ndustry.		belong to villages located to villagers.
Policy Practice	Houlong Maintain Le	eft Only;	Houlong Maintain
	Other trees on other	r lands were cut.	Left Only;
			Other lands were



Forest Tenure Reforms on FS





Post-disaster Reconstruction

Building Custom in SV

- · Days for cutting trees, laying the foundations, setting up the pillars, lifting the cross beam and moving into the new house should be selected by the Geomancer (風水先生).
- . When building a house, the villager should offer sacrifices and prepare a feast for serving other villagers while the others should come to help for free and give blessings.

Buildings don't only mean properties, but also belief and social relations

	Rebuilt after 1951 Fire Disaster	Rebuilt after 2012 Fire Disaster	
	Rebuilt by household self	Rebuilt by government's aid	
		(uniform rebuilt)	
	Mutual help from other villagers,	The government as a monitor of	
	even from outside relatives	the project (inefficient)	
	Timber from household self or	Timber from buying in market	
	"buying" from relatives	(higher price)	
	Size of new house was decided by	Size of new house is uniformly	
	timber amount	designed by government	
		(larger for most victims)	
	Nearly 2 years for rebuilding	Nearly 10 months for rebuilding	
	Traditional community	(mandaya) administrative	

Wood Houses before the 2012 Fire Disaste

Uniform-rebuilt Houses

Comparison between Two Reconstructions

2012 Post-disaster Reconstruction in SV

	Government (Policies)	Leaders of SV	23 Victims	Results
Rebuilt price & timber sources	The same price according to market, subsidies as half of the price; timber or currency offered by victims as the other half	Got subsidy and built new house on other place; coordinated uniformly rebuilt of the 23 victims on the former place	Got subsidies; hardly offered timbers from own forests for they did not know if their timbers were used in their houses	Timbers were bought from market at a higher price than budgets so that final price was increased
Quality & Monitor- ing	According to state standards	The same as the Gov.	Wanted to monitor by themselves	Victims were unsatisfied with the quality and interfered constructing
House Distribu- tion	Allotted after completion; by drawing lots	The same as the Gov. for monitoring bothering	According to the locations of former houses	Allotted after completion; according to the former ones as far as possible

*After the Spring Festival of 2012, SV suffered a fig using negligently. Over 1/3 households (40 HH) the help of the gov. that the fire was stopped. struction. 23 new houses were uniformly pl

nitored by external engineers. Victims acc th pleasure at the first. However, followed

et of issues. The table above illu

- 1. Under industrialization and marketization, many traditional things in SV have changed:
 - √The meanings of trees and mountains: Objects of Belief → Resources Mobilized by Politics → Monetized Goods √The subjects of power for managing forests: Village Community → Government vs. Households
- 2. The changes were the result of conflicts and compromises among various stakeholders.
- 3. Modern policy interventions may have conflicts with and squeeze traditional knowledge in community practices.
- 4. With the increasing influence of industrialization and marketization, the forests and mountains may be faced with